

Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures with background

PAULO ANTUNES

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA

3001-454 COIMBRA

PORTUGAL

pantunes@mat.uc.pt

Abstract

We define the Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures with background on Lie algebroids and we prove that to any generalized complex structure on a Courant algebroid which is the double of a Lie algebroid is associated such a structure. We prove that any Lie algebroid with a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background constitutes, with its dual, a quasi-Lie bialgebroid. We also prove that any pair (π, ω) of a Poisson bivector and a 2-form induces a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background and we observe that particular cases correspond to already known compatibilities between π and ω .

Introduction

The aim of this work¹ is to define the notion of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure on a Lie algebroid with a (closed) 3-form background. The Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures (without background) were introduced by Sti  non and Xu in [14] on the tangent Lie algebroid and then on any Lie algebroid by Caseiro et al. in [1]. The case with background was already studied by Zucchini [19] but we remarked that a condition is missing in the definition proposed there. This extra condition was already in [14] and appears naturally in this work when we ask for some structures to be integrable (or some brackets to verify the Jacobi identity).

In this work we will use a supermanifold approach [16, 12] to describe Lie algebroid structures. Let us consider a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ and change the parity of the fiber coordinates (considering them odd), then we obtain a supermanifold denoted by ΠA . The algebra of functions on ΠA , which are polynomial in the fibre coordinates, is denoted by $C^\infty(\Pi A)$ and coincides with $\Omega(A) := \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$, the exterior algebra of A -forms. Let consider a Lie algebroid structure on A given by d , a degree 1 derivation of $\Omega(A)$ such that $d^2 = 0$. In this supermanifold setting, d is a vector field on ΠA and can be seen as the derivation defined by a hamiltonian on ΠA , i.e. an element $\mu \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$. Then $d = \{\mu, \cdot\}$, where the so-called big bracket [5], $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, is the canonical Poisson bracket on the symplectic supermanifold $T^*\Pi A$. The condition $d^2 = 0$ is equivalent to $\{\mu, \mu\} = 0$.

To each $f \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$ is associated a bidegree (ε, δ) . In fact, since using Legendre transform (see [10]) $T^*(\Pi A) \cong T^*(\Pi A^*)$, we can define ε (resp. δ) as the polynomial degree of

¹This paper was presented as a poster at the ‘‘Poisson 2008’’ conference at the EPFL in Lausanne in July 2008.

f in the fibre coordinates of the vector bundle $T^*(\Pi A) \rightarrow \Pi A$ (resp. $T^*(\Pi A) \rightarrow \Pi A^*$). We define the shifted bidegree of f as the pair $(\varepsilon - 1, \delta - 1)$ and the total shifted bidegree as the sum $(\varepsilon - 1) + (\delta - 1) = \varepsilon + \delta - 2$. Then a Lie algebroid structure in A is a hamiltonian $\mu \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$ of shifted bidegree $(0, 1)$ such that $\{\mu, \mu\} = 0$.

Instead of the expression “with background” used here, some authors use “twisted”, or in Physics, “H-flux”. In this work, our choice was motivated by the result of the proposition 4.2. In fact, we prove there that a particular class of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background is obtained by twisting, in a way explained in [11, 15, 7], a Lie algebroid structure by a Poisson bivector and then by a 2-form. Therefore, to avoid confusion, we will use the word “twist” only when we are dealing with twisting by a 2-form or a bivector as in [11, 15, 7].

The content of this paper is as follows. In the first section we recall some basic definitions about Nijenhuis tensors, Poisson bivectors and Poisson Nijenhuis structures on a Lie algebroid and give the corresponding expression in the supermanifold approach. Then, in the second section, we introduce the notion of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with a 3-form background H , on a Lie algebroid (A, μ) . We prove that any complex structure (or more generally any c.p.s. structure, see definition 2.2) on $(A \oplus A^*, \mu + H)$ induces such a structure. In the third section we generalize a result from [14, 1] and prove that any Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background on A induces a Lie quasi-bialgebroid on (A^*, A) . Finally, in the last section we study Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures with background defined by a pair (π, ω) of a Poisson bivector and a 2-form. We observe that already known compatible pairs such that complementary 2-forms for Poisson bivectors [17], Hitchin pairs [2] and $P\Omega$ -structures or ΩN -structures [9] are all particular examples of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures with background.

1 Basic definitions

In this section we will recall known structures such as Poisson Nijenhuis structures on a Lie algebroid A and give their expression in terms of big bracket and polynomial functions on $T^*\Pi A$.

Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over a smooth manifold M . The Lie algebroid structure, $([\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$, can be seen as a function $\mu \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$, of shifted bidegree $(0, 1)$, such that $\{\mu, \mu\} = 0$.

Consider a $(1, 1)$ -tensor $N \in \Gamma(A \otimes A^*)$. The Nijenhuis torsion of N is defined by

$$T_N(X, Y) = [NX, NY] - N([NX, Y] + [X, NY] - N[X, Y]).$$

In terms of big bracket and elements of $C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$, the Nijenhuis torsion is given by

$$T_N = \frac{1}{2} (\{N, \{N, \mu\}\} - \{N^2, \mu\}). \quad (1)$$

If $T_N = 0$, N is said to be a Nijenhuis tensor and in this case we define a new Lie algebroid structure on A given by

$$\begin{cases} [X, Y]_N = [NX, Y] + [X, NY] - N[X, Y], & X, Y \in \Gamma(A), \\ \rho_N = \rho \circ N. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In the supermanifold setting, the structure $([\cdot, \cdot]_N, \rho_N)$ is given by $\{N, \mu\} \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$. We denote by d_N the degree 1 derivation of $\Omega(A)$ induced by this Lie algebroid structure. Then

$$d_N = \{\{N, \mu\}, \cdot\}.$$

A bivector $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ is said to be Poisson if $[\pi, \pi]_{SN} = 0$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_{SN}$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket naturally defined on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$. If π is a Poisson bivector we define a Lie algebroid structure on A^* by setting

$$\begin{cases} [\alpha, \beta]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha - d(\pi(\alpha, \beta)), \\ \rho_\pi = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp. \end{cases} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*), \quad (3)$$

In the supermanifold setting, the structure $([\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \rho_\pi)$ is given by $\{\pi, \mu\} \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$.

Definition 1.1. A Poisson bivector π and a Nijenhuis tensor N are said to be *compatibles* if

$$\begin{cases} N \circ \pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ {}^t N, \\ C_{\pi, N} = 0, \end{cases}$$

with

$$C_{\pi, N} = ([\cdot, \cdot]_N)_\pi - ([\cdot, \cdot]_\pi)_{tN},$$

a $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear bracket on $\Gamma(A^*)$. When π and N are compatible, (A, π, N) constitutes a *Poisson Nijenhuis Lie algebroid*.

In the supermanifold setting, we have

$$C_{\pi, N} = \{\pi, \{N, \mu\}\} + \{N, \{\pi, \mu\}\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. If (A, π, N) is a Poisson Nijenhuis Lie algebroid, then (A_N, A_π^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, where A_N and A_π^* are the Lie algebroids defined respectively by (2) and (3).

Remark 1.3. When $A = TM$ and μ is the standard Lie algebroid structure, the implication of the previous theorem becomes an equivalence (see [6]).

The Lie bialgebroid (A_N, A_π^*) induces a Courant algebroid structure in $A \oplus A^*$ [8, 10] which is given in the supermanifold setting by

$$S = \{\pi, \mu\} + \{N, \mu\} = \{\pi + N, \mu\}.$$

2 Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis with background and generalized geometry

Let S be a Courant algebroid structure on $A \oplus A^*$, i.e., $S \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$ is of total shifted degree 1 and $\{S, S\} = 0$. Consider also a $(1, 1)$ -tensor J on $A \oplus A^*$, seen as a map $J : A \oplus A^* \rightarrow A \oplus A^*$. We call J *orthogonal* if

$$\langle J(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{Y} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{X}, J(\mathcal{Y}) \rangle = 0,$$

for all $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$, with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined by $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \beta(X) + \alpha(Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma A^*$.

As in the Lie algebroid case, we can define a new bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_J$ deforming by J the Courant structure on $A \oplus A^*$ by setting

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_J = [J\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}] + [\mathcal{X}, J\mathcal{Y}] - J[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}],$$

for all $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Dorfman bracket on $A \oplus A^*$. When J is an orthogonal $(1, 1)$ -tensor on $A \oplus A^*$, this deformed bracket is given by the hamiltonian

$$S_J := \{J, \mu\} \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A).$$

We define also the Nijenhuis torsion of J ,

$$T_J(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = [J\mathcal{X}, J\mathcal{Y}] - J([\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]_J),$$

for all $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$.

Proposition 2.1. 1. *The hamiltonian S_J defines a Courant structure on $A \oplus A^*$ if and only if $\{S, T_J\} = 0$.*

2. *J is a Courant morphism from $(A \oplus A^*, S_J)$ to $(A \oplus A^*, S)$ if and only if $T_J = 0$.*

Definition 2.2. An orthogonal $(1, 1)$ -tensor J , on $A \oplus A^*$, is an *almost c.p.s. structure* if $J^2 = \lambda \text{id}_{A \oplus A^*}$, with $\lambda \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. The almost c.p.s. structure J is integrable when $T_J = 0$.

The abbreviation “c.p.s.” is due to Vaisman [18] and corresponds to the three different structures we are considering: if $\lambda = -1$, J is an almost complex structure; if $\lambda = 1$, J is an almost product structure; and if $\lambda = 0$, J is an almost sub tangent structure.

As was noticed in [2, 18], J is an almost c.p.s. structure if and only if J can be represented in a matrix form by

$$J \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi^\sharp \\ \sigma^\flat & -{}^t N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$, where $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ and $N \in \Gamma(A \otimes A^*)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} N \circ \pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ {}^t N, \\ \sigma^\flat \circ N = {}^t N \circ \sigma^\flat, \\ N^2 + \pi^\sharp \circ \sigma^\flat = \lambda \text{id}_A. \end{cases}$$

In the supermanifold setting,

$$J = \pi + N + \sigma$$

in the sense that $J(\cdot) = \{., \pi + N + \sigma\}$. Moreover, the integrability condition of an almost c.p.s. structure, $T_J = 0$, is expressed by [3]

$$\{\{J, S\}, J\} + \lambda S = 0. \quad (5)$$

Let us now consider the case where $S = \mu + H$, where $\mu \in C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$ defines a Lie algebroid structure on A , and $H \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A^*)$ is a closed 3-form. Then $\{S, S\} = 0$ and S defines a Courant algebroid structure on $A \oplus A^*$.

The goal of this section is to relate c.p.s. structures on $(A \oplus A^*, \mu + H)$ with the Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures with background which we now define.

Definition 2.3. A *Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background* on a Lie algebroid A is a quadruple (π, N, ψ, H) where $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, $N \in \Gamma(A \otimes A^*)$, $\psi \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A^*)$ and $H \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A^*)$ are such that $N \circ \pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ {}^t N$, $d\psi = 0$, $dH = 0$ and verify the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \pi \text{ is a Poisson bivector,} \\ C_{\pi, N}(\alpha, \beta) = 2i_{\pi^\sharp \alpha \wedge \pi^\sharp \beta} H, \\ T_N(X, Y) = \pi^\sharp(i_{NX \wedge Y} H - i_{NY \wedge X} H + i_{X \wedge Y} \psi), \\ d_N \psi = d\mathcal{H}, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and where \mathcal{H} is the 3-form defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(X, Y, Z) = \odot_{X, Y, Z} H(NX, NY, Z), \quad (7)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A)$.

Observation 2.4. 1. In terms of big bracket and elements of $C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$, the conditions (6) correspond to

$$\begin{cases} \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ \{\{\pi, \mu\}, N\} + \{\{N, \mu\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ \{\{N, \mu\}, N\} + \{N^2, \mu\} - 2\{\pi, \psi\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, N\} + \{\{N, H\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ 2\{\{N, \mu\}, \psi\} = \{\mu, \{N, \{N, H\}\} - \{N^2, H\}\}. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

2. If $H = 0$ we recover the Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures defined in [1, 14].
3. The last condition of (6) is missing in the definition proposed by Zucchini [19]. In our study this condition appears naturally and is necessary in order to include the case without background, described in [1, 14].

Theorem 2.5. *If an endomorphism J , defined by (4), is a c.p.s. structure on $(A \oplus A^*, \mu + H)$ then $(\pi, N, -d\sigma, H)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background on A .*

Proof. The result follows directly by writing the integrability condition (5) with $J = \pi + N + \sigma$ and $S = \mu + H$. Using the bilinearity of $\{.,.\}$ and taking into account the bidegree of each term we obtain the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ \{\{\pi, \mu\}, N\} + \{\{N, \mu\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ \{\{N, \mu\}, N\} + 2\{\pi, \{\mu, \sigma\}\} + \{\{\pi, \sigma\}, \mu\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, N\} + \{\{N, H\}, \pi\} + \lambda\mu = 0, \\ \{\{N, \mu\}, \sigma\} + \{\{\sigma, \mu\}, N\} + \{\{N, H\}, N\} + \{\{\pi, \sigma\}, H\} + \lambda H = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the last two equations of the system we now use the algebraic conditions for J to be a c.p.s. structure and more precisely the condition $N^2 + \pi^\sharp \circ \sigma^\flat = \lambda id_A$ which is written in terms of big bracket and elements of $C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$ as

$$\{\pi, \sigma\} = N^2 - \lambda id_A.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{cases} \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ \{\{\pi, \mu\}, N\} + \{\{N, \mu\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ \{\{N, \mu\}, N\} + \{N^2, \mu\} + 2\{\pi, \{\mu, \sigma\}\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, N\} + \{\{N, H\}, \pi\} = 0, \\ \{\{N, \mu\}, \sigma\} + \{\{\sigma, \mu\}, N\} + \{\{N, H\}, N\} + \{N^2, H\} - 2\lambda H = 0. \end{cases}$$

The proof is achieved after interpreting the previous system of equations as

$$\begin{cases} \pi \text{ is a Poisson bivector,} \\ C_{\pi, N}(\alpha, \beta) = 2i_{\pi^\sharp \alpha \wedge \pi^\sharp \beta} H, \\ T_N(X, Y) = \pi^\sharp(i_{NX \wedge Y} H - i_{NY \wedge X} H - i_{X \wedge Y} d\sigma), \\ 2i_N d\sigma - d(i_N \sigma) = 2(\mathcal{H} + \lambda H), \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and \mathcal{H} defined by Equation (7). \square

Remark 2.6. In [18], Vaisman studied the integrability of almost c.p.s. structures on $TM \oplus T^*M$ considering both the usual Courant bracket, and also the case with a 3-form background. The conditions that he obtained in Remark 1.5 of [18] coincide with the system of conditions (9).

Note that, in the previous proof, the last equation of (9) is only a sufficient condition for the last equation of (6). We can get an equivalence if we impose additional conditions on the quadruple (π, N, σ, H) .

Theorem 2.7. *An endomorphism J , defined by (4), is a c.p.s. structure on $(A \oplus A^*, \mu + H)$ if and only if $(\pi, N, -d\sigma, H)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure on A with background H such that*

$$\begin{cases} N^2 + \pi^\sharp \circ \sigma^\flat = \lambda \text{id}_A, \\ \sigma^\flat \circ N = {}^t N \circ \sigma^\flat, \\ 2(i_N d\sigma - \mathcal{H}) = d(i_N \sigma) + 2\lambda H. \end{cases}$$

3 Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis with background and Lie quasi-bialgebroids

In this section we will generalize a result proved for structures without background in [14, 1]. Let (A, μ) be a Lie algebroid over a smooth manifold M .

Definition 3.1. A Lie quasi-bialgebroid is a triple (A, δ, φ) where A is a Lie algebroid, δ is a degree one derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and $\varphi \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A)$ is such that $\delta^2 = [\varphi, \cdot]$ and $\delta\varphi = 0$.

The main result of the section is the following

Theorem 3.2. *If (π, N, ψ, H) is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background on A then $(A_\pi^*, d_N^H, \psi + i_N H)$ is a Lie quasi-bialgebroid, where $d_N^H(\alpha) = d_N(\alpha) - i_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)} H$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$.*

Proof. The hamiltonian on $C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$ which induces the structure $(A_\pi^*, d_N^H, \psi + i_N H)$ is $\tilde{S} = \{\pi + N, \mu + H\} + \psi$. Considering the bidegree of each term, the equation $\{\tilde{S}, \tilde{S}\} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \{\pi, \mu\}\} = 0, \\ \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \{\pi, H\}\} + \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \{N, \mu\}\} = 0, \\ \{\{\pi, H\}, \{\pi, H\}\} + \{\{N, \mu\}, \{N, \mu\}\} + 2\{\{\pi, \mu\}, \{N, H\}\} + \\ \quad + 2\{\{\pi, H\}, \{N, \mu\}\} + 2\{\{\pi, \mu\}, \psi\} = 0, \\ \{\{\pi, H\}, \{N, H\}\} + \{\{N, \mu\}, \{N, H\}\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, \psi\} + \{\{N, \mu\}, \psi\} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

It is now straightforward to observe that the system of equations (8) implies the system (10). \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $(\pi, N, -d\sigma, H)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background on A then $\{\pi + N + \sigma, \mu + H\}$ is a structure of Lie quasi-bialgebroid on (A^*, A) or equivalently of a Courant algebroid on $A \oplus A^*$.*

Proof. If we consider $\psi = -d\sigma$ in the previous proof, then $\tilde{S} = \{\pi + N + \sigma, \mu + H\}$ and we prove that $\{\tilde{S}, \tilde{S}\} = 0$. \square

Remark 3.4. In the corollary above, $J = \pi + N + \sigma$ is not necessarily integrable, i.e., the Nijenhuis torsion T_J may not vanish (see necessary conditions in theorem 2.7). But the previous corollary proves that the deformed structure S_J defines a Courant algebroid structure in $A \oplus A^*$, i.e., that $\{S, T_J\} = 0$ (see proposition 2.1).

4 Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis with background and compatible second order tensors

In this section we shall consider $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ a Poisson bivector and a 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$. Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^\sharp(\alpha) &= \pi(\alpha, \cdot), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(A^*), & \omega^\flat(X) &= \omega(X, \cdot), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(A), \\ N &= \pi^\sharp \circ \omega^\flat, & \omega_N &= \omega(N, \cdot).\end{aligned}$$

Then the main result of this section is the following

Theorem 4.1. *The quadruple $(\pi, N, d\omega_N, -d\omega)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background on A .*

Proof. Let us denote $\psi = d\omega_N$ and $H = -d\omega$. In terms of elements of $C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$, we have the following correspondences

$$\begin{cases} N = \{\omega, \pi\}, \\ \psi = \frac{1}{2}\{\mu, \{N, \omega\}\}, \\ H = \{\omega, \mu\}.\end{cases}$$

We easily check that ψ and H are closed and that $N \circ \pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ {}^t N$. To prove that (π, N, ψ, H) is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background we need to verify the set of conditions (6) (or equivalently the conditions (8)).

1. π is a Poisson bivector by assumption.
2. Let us start from the fact that π is a Poisson bivector, i.e., that

$$\{\{\pi, \mu\}, \pi\} = 0$$

and apply $\{\omega, \cdot\}$ to both sides. We get

$$\{\omega, \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \pi\}\} = 0.$$

We use the Jacobi identity and obtain

$$\{\{\omega, \{\pi, \mu\}\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \{\omega, \pi\}\} = 0$$

and using once more the Jacobi identity in the first term of l.h.s. we have

$$\{\{\{\omega, \pi\}, \mu\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, \{\omega, \mu\}\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, \mu\}, \{\omega, \pi\}\} = 0,$$

which is the second condition of (8)

$$\{\{N, \mu\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, \mu\}, N\} = 0.$$

3. As above, we start from the previous condition

$$\{\{N, \mu\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, \pi\} + \{\{\pi, \mu\}, N\} = 0,$$

and apply $\{\omega, \cdot\}$ to both sides. We obtain

$$\{\omega, \{\{N, \mu\}, \pi\}\} + \{\omega, \{\{\pi, H\}, \pi\}\} + \{\omega, \{\{\pi, \mu\}, N\}\} = 0,$$

and using the Jacobi identity twice we get the required equation

$$\{\{N, \mu\}, N\} + \{N^2, \mu\} - 2\{\pi, \psi\} + \{\{\pi, H\}, N\} + \{\{N, H\}, \pi\} = 0.$$

4. The way of proving this condition is the same as above. We start from the previous condition and apply $\{\omega, \cdot\}$ to both sides. Then, using the Jacobi identity, we get

$$\{\{N, H\}, N\} + \{N^2, H\} - 2\{N, \psi\} - \{\{N^2, \omega\}, \mu\} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Finally, applying $\{\mu, \cdot\}$ we obtain

$$\{\mu, \{\{N, H\}, N\} + \{N^2, H\}\} - 2\{\mu, \{N, \psi\}\} = 0.$$

Using once more the Jacobi identity and the fact that ψ is closed we get

$$2\{\{N, \mu\}, \psi\} = \{\mu, \{N, \{N, H\}\} - \{N^2, H\}\}.$$

□

The proof of the previous theorem suggests that starting from a Poisson bivector and composing iteratively, in a certain way, with a 2-form we get all the conditions of the definition of a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background. The precise way to describe this fact is using the twist of a structure by a bivector or a 2-form as in [11, 15, 7].

Proposition 4.2. *If we denote by S the Lie quasi-bialgebroid structure induced by the Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background $(\pi, N, d\omega_N, -d\omega)$, then $S = e^{-\omega} \circ (e^{-\pi} \mu - \mu)$, or equivalently*

$$S = e^{-\omega}(\mu_\pi),$$

where μ_π is the Lie algebroid structure defined by (3).

In the next proposition we will see that the Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure with background $(\pi, N, d\omega_N, -d\omega)$ is induced (as shown in theorem 2.5) by a subtangential structure.

Proposition 4.3. *The $(1,1)$ -tensor $J = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi^\sharp \\ -\omega_N^\flat & -{}^t N \end{pmatrix}$ is a subtangential structure (i.e., a c.p.s. structure with $\lambda = 0$) on $(A \oplus A^*, \mu - d\omega)$.*

Proof. Using the theorems 4.1 and 2.7 we only need to prove

$$\begin{cases} N^2 - \pi^\sharp \circ \omega_N^\flat = 0, \\ \omega_N^\flat \circ N = {}^t N \circ \omega_N^\flat, \\ 2(i_N d\omega_N + \mathcal{H}) = d(i_N \omega_N). \end{cases}$$

But the verification of the two first conditions is straightforward and, using the fact that $i_N \omega_N = i_{N^2} \omega$, the last condition is equivalent to (11). □

In the remaining part of this section, we will see that, in the theorem 4.1, if we impose restrictions on the 2-form ω we get already known structures stronger than Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis with background. We also notice that the pairs (π, ω) , (π, N) and (ω, N) thus obtained correspond to (or slightly generalize) already known compatible pairs.

Corollary 4.4. [Poisson Nijenhuis] *If $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ is a Poisson bivector and $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ is a closed 2-form such that $d\omega_N = 0$, then (π, N) is a Poisson Nijenhuis structure on A .*

Remarks 4.5. 1. A pair (π, ω) in the conditions of the corollary above is exactly what is called a $P\Omega$ -structure in [9].

2. The condition $d\omega_N = 0$ is the compatibility condition for (ω, N) to be a Hitchin pair as it is defined in [2] for $A = TM$. The pair (ω, N) above is more general because ω is not necessarily symplectic.
3. Using the fact that ω is a closed form, we can prove that the compatibility condition $d\omega_N = 0$ is equivalent to two other known compatibility conditions:
 - ω is a complementary 2-form for π as in [17];
 - (ω, N) is a ΩN -structure as in [9].

Let us justify briefly the last remark. In [17], Vaisman defines ω as a complementary 2-form for π when

$$[\omega, \omega]_\pi = 0,$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ is the natural extension to $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ of the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ defined in (3). But in terms of big bracket and elements of $C^\infty(T^*\Pi A)$, we have

$$[\omega, \omega]_\pi = \{ \{ \omega, \{ \pi, \mu \} \}, \omega \},$$

and using the Jacobi identity twice we obtain

$$[\omega, \omega]_\pi = 2 \{ N, \{ \mu, \omega \} \} - \{ \mu, \{ N, \omega \} \},$$

which corresponds to

$$[\omega, \omega]_\pi = 2i_N d\omega - 2d(\omega_N). \quad (12)$$

In [9], Magri and Morosi define a pair (ω, N) to be a ΩN -structure if a particular 3-form $S(\omega, N)$ vanishes. But we can write

$$S(\omega, N) = -i_N d\omega + d(\omega_N). \quad (13)$$

Therefore, using (12) and (13) the vanishing of $d\omega_N$ is equivalent, when $d\omega = 0$, to the vanishing of $[\omega, \omega]_\pi$ or the vanishing of $S(\omega, N)$.

Corollary 4.6. [Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis] *If $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ is a Poisson bivector and $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ is a closed 2-form then $(\pi, N, d\omega_N)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure on A (without background).*

We can also define a Poisson Nijenhuis structure with background (π, N, H) by considering $\psi = 0$ in the definition 2.3. Up to our knowledge, this structure was never studied before. We have the following result.

Corollary 4.7. [Poisson Nijenhuis with background] *If $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ is a Poisson bivector and $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ is a 2-form such that $d\omega_N = 0$, then $(\pi, N, -d\omega)$ is a Poisson Nijenhuis structure with background on A .*

Observation 4.8. In the above results, the bivector π is a true Poisson bivector. So the last structure we obtain is different from a possible compatibility between a Poisson structure with background [13] and a Nijenhuis tensor.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach for suggesting these topics and for always useful discussions about this work.

References

1. Caseiro, R., De Nicola, A. and Nunes da Costa, J.: On Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids, math.DG/0806.2467v1.
2. Crainic, M.: Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets, math.DG/0412097v2.
3. Grabowski, J.: Courant-Nijenhuis tensors and generalized geometries, *Monografías de la Real Academia de Ciencias de Zaragoza*, 29, 101–112, (2006).
4. Gualtieri, M.: Generalized complex geometry, DPhil thesis, Oxford University, (2004), math.DG/0703298.
5. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.: Jacobian quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Poisson Lie groups, *Contemp. Math.*, 132, 459–489, (1992).
6. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.: The Lie bialgebroid of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 38, no. 4, 421–428, (1996).
7. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.: Poisson and symplectic functions in Lie algebroid theory, to appear in *Progress in Mathematics, Festschrift in honor of Murray Gerstenhaber and Jim Stasheff*.
8. Liu, Z.-J., Weinstein, A. and Xu, P.: Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, *J. Differential Geom.*, 45, no. 3, 547–574, (1997).
9. Magri, F. and Morosi, C.: A geometrical characterization of integrable Hamiltonian systems through the theory of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds, *Quaderno S.19*, Univ of Milan, (1984).
10. Roytenberg, D.: Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds, PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, (1999).
11. Roytenberg, D.: Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 61, no. 2, 123–137, (2002).
12. Roytenberg, D.: On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids, In: T. Voronov (ed.), *Quantization, Poisson Brackets and Beyond*, *Contemp. Math* 315, pp. 169–185, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (2002).
13. Ševera, P. and Weinstein, A.: Poisson geometry with a 3-form background, *Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl.*, 144, 145–154, (2001).
14. Stiénon M. and Xu, P.: Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 270, no. 3, 709–725, (2007).
15. Terashima, Y.: On Poisson functions, *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 6, no. 1, 1–7, (2008).
16. Vaintrob, A. Yu.: Lie algebroids and homological vector fields, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 52, no. 2(314), 161–162, (1997).
17. Vaisman, I.: Complementary 2-forms of Poisson structures, *Compositio Math.*, 101, no. 1, 55–75, (1996).

18. Vaisman, I.: Reduction and submanifolds of generalized complex manifolds, *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 25, no. 2, 147–166, (2007).
19. Zucchini, R.: The Hitchin model, Poisson-quasi-Nijenhuis, geometry and symmetry reduction, *J. High Energy Phys.* , no. 10, 075, 29 pp., (2007).